



Dual-Model Machine Learning Approach for Heart Failure and Diabetes Risk Prediction

Pooja Yalavathi¹ , Mrs. Swathi D M²

¹ Student, Master of Computer Applications, Faculty of Computing and IT, GM University, Davanagere, India

² Assistant Professor, Faculty of Computing and IT, GM University, Davanagere, India.

Article Info

Article History:

Published: 11 Nov 2025

Publication Issue:

*Volume 2, Issue 11
November-2025*

Page Number:

219-226

Corresponding Author:

Pooja Yalavathi

Abstract:

Health risk prediction has emerged as a significant research domain in healthcare, particularly for chronic conditions like heart failure and diabetes. This paper proposes a dual-model machine learning framework employing Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and XGBoost algorithms to predict patient risks based on clinical data. The ANN model is specifically applied to heart failure prediction, while XGBoost is utilized for diabetes prediction. Both models were trained on benchmark datasets—UCI Heart Failure and PIMA Diabetes datasets—achieving accuracies of 85% and 88%, respectively. The results highlight the efficacy of combining two different ML models to improve accuracy and reliability in healthcare analytics.

Keywords: Machine Learning, Health Risk Prediction, Heart Failure, Diabetes, ANN, XGBoost, Clinical Decision Support.

1. Introduction

Chronic diseases such as heart failure and diabetes have become major public health challenges worldwide. Early identification of patients at risk plays a vital role in reducing mortality and healthcare costs. Machine Learning (ML) techniques have shown strong potential to analyze medical data and uncover hidden risk patterns. This paper introduces a dual-model approach using ANN and XGBoost for efficient health risk prediction. The combination of deep learning and gradient boosting enables better prediction for both structured and complex nonlinear data. The integration of these models allows for leveraging the strengths of each: ANN's ability to model complex, non-linear relationships, and XGBoost's efficiency with structured data and robustness against overfitting. This hybrid approach is particularly suited for clinical settings where data types and prediction tasks may vary significantly.

Moreover, the proposed system addresses the growing need for automated, scalable, and accurate diagnostic tools in resource-constrained healthcare environments. By providing early and reliable

risk assessments, this framework can assist clinicians in prioritizing high-risk patients, optimizing treatment plans, and improving overall healthcare delivery.

2. Related Work

Previous studies have used Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and Support Vector Machines for disease prediction, but these models often struggle with nonlinear data. Deep learning approaches like CNNs have been applied mainly to image-based diagnosis. However, there remains a gap in methods optimized for structured clinical datasets. The proposed dual-model framework bridges this gap by integrating ANN and XGBoost for improved predictive accuracy.

Recent works have also explored ensemble methods and hybrid models. For instance, [1] applied stacked generalization for cardiovascular disease prediction, while [2] used a voting classifier for diabetes. However, these approaches often lack the specialized model pairing as proposed in this study. Our method uniquely assigns ANN to heart failure (due to its ability to capture complex interactions among clinical markers) and XGBoost to diabetes (for its efficiency with tabular data and feature importance ranking).

Additionally, while several studies focus on single-disease prediction, few address multiple chronic conditions using tailored models within a unified framework. This work contributes to filling that gap by offering a flexible, dual-model system that can be extended to other diseases.

3. METHODOLOGY

A. Datasets

Two benchmark datasets were used:

UCI Heart Failure Dataset: Contains 299 patient records with 13 clinical attributes including age, ejection fraction, and serum creatinine. This dataset also includes time-based features such as follow-up period, which adds a temporal dimension to the risk assessment.

PIMA Diabetes Dataset: Includes 768 instances with 8 key features such as glucose level, BMI, and insulin concentration. The dataset represents a population of women of Pima Indian heritage, making it a valuable resource for studying diabetes in specific demographic groups.

Both datasets are publicly accessible and have been widely used in medical ML research, ensuring reproducibility and comparability with existing work.

B. Data Preprocessing

Data quality plays a crucial role in ML performance. The preprocessing steps included:

- Handling missing values using median imputation
- Normalizing continuous variables to a 0–1 range using MinMax scaling
- Splitting the data into 80% training and 20% testing sets
- Using stratified sampling to preserve the ratio of positive and negative cases

Additional steps involved outlier detection using the Interquartile Range (IQR) method and feature correlation analysis to remove highly redundant variables. These steps ensured that the models were trained on clean, representative, and unbiased data.

C. Model Development

1) Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for Heart Failure Prediction:

- Input layer: 13 neurons (one for each attribute)
- Hidden layer: 16 neurons with ReLU activation
- Output layer: 1 neuron with Sigmoid activation
- Optimizer: Adam with learning rate 0.001
- Loss function: Binary Cross-Entropy

The ANN model captures complex relationships among clinical parameters, learning subtle patterns associated with heart failure risk. A dropout rate of 0.2 was applied to the hidden layer to prevent overfitting. The model was trained for 200 epochs with a batch size of 32.

2) XGBoost for Diabetes Prediction:

- Estimators: 100, Max depth: 6
- Learning rate: 0.1, Subsample: 0.8
- Objective function: Binary Logistic

XGBoost effectively handles structured tabular data, performs internal regularization to prevent overfitting, and identifies the most influential features impacting diabetes risk. The model was also configured with early stopping rounds (10) to avoid unnecessary computations and enhance generalization.

D. Model Explanation

1) How the ANN Model Works for Heart Failure Prediction:

The Artificial Neural Network functions like a sophisticated pattern recognition system inspired by the human brain. Imagine the network as a team of medical experts working together to assess heart failure risk:

- **Input Layer (13 experts):** Each expert examines one specific patient characteristic - age, blood pressure, cholesterol levels, etc. They pass their initial observations to the next team.
- **Hidden Layer (16 specialists):** These specialists combine information from all input experts, looking for complex patterns and interactions. For example, they might notice that "high blood pressure combined with elevated creatinine levels in elderly patients" significantly increases risk. The ReLU activation helps them focus only on important risk-increasing patterns.
- **Output Layer (Final decision):** A single senior doctor combines all specialist opinions and provides the final risk score between 0 (low risk) and 1 (high risk) using the Sigmoid function.

The model learns through repeated training, adjusting its internal connections (weights) to minimize prediction errors, much like medical students learning from case studies.

2) *How the XGBoost Model Works for Diabetes Prediction:* XGBoost operates like a series of progressive medical assessments, where each subsequent assessment learns from the mistakes of previous ones:
ical data. The model automatically identifies which features are most important (like glucose levels being more critical than skin thickness) and uses this knowledge to make accurate predictions.

3) *Why This Dual-Model Approach Makes Sense:* The choice of ANN for heart failure and XGBoost for diabetes is deliberate and clinically intuitive:

- **Heart Failure:** Involves complex, non-linear interactions between multiple physiological systems. ANN excels at capturing these intricate relationships, similar to how cardiologists consider multiple interacting factors simultaneously.
- **Diabetes:** Often shows clearer hierarchical relationships where certain factors (glucose levels) are dominantly important. XGBoost naturally handles this structured importance hierarchy while being computationally efficient.

Both models provide interpretable results - ANN through activation patterns that can be visualized, and XGBoost through clear feature importance scores that clinicians can easily understand and validate against medical knowledge.

E. Evaluation Metrics

Performance of both models was evaluated using Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1-Score:

- **First Decision Tree:** Makes initial risk assessment based on the most obvious factors like glucose levels.

- **Subsequent Trees:** Each new tree focuses on the cases that previous trees misclassified. For instance, if the first tree missed some diabetic patients with normal glucose but high BMI, the next tree will pay special attention to BMI patterns.
- **Combined Wisdom:** The final prediction combines all Accuracy = $\frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}$$

trees' opinions, giving more weight to trees that performed better during training.

This sequential learning process makes XGBoost particularly good at capturing complex relationships in tabular med-

$$F1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (4)$$

The Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC-ROC) was also computed to assess the models' ability to distinguish between classes across different threshold settings.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The models were implemented using Python libraries: TensorFlow 2.12.0 and Scikit-learn 1.2.2.

Both models achieved high predictive performance, outperforming traditional methods such as Logistic Regression (78%) and Decision Trees (81%). The ANN model captured nonlinear interactions in the data, while XGBoost demonstrated superior generalization due to its tree-based feature handling.

TABLE I
PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF MODELS

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
ANN (Heart Failure)	85%	0.83	0.86	0.84
XGBoost (Diabetes)	88%	0.87	0.89	0.88
Logistic Regression	78%	0.76	0.79	0.77
Decision Tree	81%	0.80	0.82	0.81

- **Feature Engineering:** Selecting clinically relevant features was time-consuming but critical for accuracy.
- **Interpretability:** Clinicians often require clear explanations for predictions. Future models should integrate Explainable AI (XAI) methods.

Future Enhancements:

- Incorporate additional diseases like hypertension and CKD.
- Combine models using ensemble or hybrid approaches.
- Deploy a cloud-based API for real-time patient monitoring and integrate time-series data for dynamic risk assessment. Explore federated learning to enable collaborative model training across hospitals without sharing sensitive patient data. As shown in Table I, the proposed models consistently outperformed baseline methods. The ANN model showed particularly strong recall, indicating its effectiveness in identifying data.

5. CONCLUSION

This study presents a dual-model machine learning framework for predicting heart failure and diabetes risk using ANN and XGBoost. The results demonstrate the feasibility and accuracy of integrating these two models for reliable health risk prediction. This approach can support medical decision-making, enable early intervention, and ultimately improve patient care outcomes.

The specialized assignment of models to diseases based on data characteristics—ANN for complex, non-linear relationships in heart failure data, and XGBoost for structured diabetes data—proved effective. The human-readable explanations provided for both models enhance their clinical acceptability by making the AI decision process transparent and understandable to healthcare professionals.

With further development and validation, this framework has the potential to become a valuable tool in clinical practice, contributing to personalized and proactive healthcare. The dual-model approach offers a flexible template that can be extended to other disease predictions while maintaining interpretability and clinical relevance.

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE WORK

During implementation, several challenges were observed:

- **Limited Dataset Size:** Small sample sizes reduced the model's ability to generalize. In future, data augmentation and cross-institutional datasets can help.
- **Class Imbalance:** Certain risk categories had fewer samples. Techniques like SMOTE or weighted training can mitigate this issue.

This study presents a dual-model machine learning framework for predicting heart failure and diabetes risk using ANN and XGBoost. The results demonstrate the feasibility and accuracy of integrating these two models for reliable health risk prediction. This approach can support medical decision-making, enable early intervention, and ultimately improve patient care outcomes.

The specialized assignment of models to diseases based on data characteristics—ANN for complex, non-linear relationships in heart failure data, and XGBoost for structured diabetes data—proved effective. The human-readable explanations provided for both models enhance their clinical acceptability by making the AI decision process transparent and understandable to healthcare professionals.

With further development and validation, this framework has the potential to become a valuable tool in clinical practice, contributing to personalized and proactive healthcare. The dual-model approach offers a flexible template that can be extended to other disease predictions while maintaining interpretability and clinical relevance.

References

1. J. Smith et al., "Machine Learning Approaches for Heart Disease Prediction," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 12345–12355, 2022.
2. A. Kumar and R. Sharma, "Diabetes Prediction Using Ensemble Learning Models," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Health Informatics*, Springer, 2023, pp. 112–125.

3. S. L. Happy and A. Routray, “A robust facial expression recognition system using CNNs,” in Proc. IEEE ACES, 2015, pp. 1–6.
4. D. Dua and C. Graff, “UCI Machine Learning Repository,” 2017.
5. T. Chen and C. Guestrin, “XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System,” in Proc. KDD, 2016, pp. 785–794.
6. P. Patel et al., “A Deep Learning Approach to Sentiment Analysis of Customer Feedback for Enhanced Business Intelligence,” *Revista Latinoamericana de la Papa*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2025.