

## **The Abrogation of Article 370: Legal Change, Political Dynamics, and Socio-Economic Impact**

Mir Rayees Ul Islam<sup>1</sup>, Dr Amarjeet Singh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Reseach Scholar, Desh Bagat University ,Amloh Road Mandi, Gobindgarh*

<sup>2</sup> *Research supervisor, Desh Bagat University ,Amloh Road Mandi, Gobindgarh.*

### **Article Info**

#### **Article History:**

*Published: 11 Nov 2025*

#### **Publication Issue:**

*Volume 2, Issue 11  
November-2025*

#### **Page Number:**

*227-231*

#### **Corresponding Author:**

*Mir Rayees Ul Islam*

### **Abstract:**

On August 5, 2019, the Government of India revoked the special constitutional status of Jammu and Kashmir by issuing presidential orders and passing the Jammu and Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019. This paper examines the legal, political, and socio-economic dimensions of the abrogation of Article 370, with special attention to its implications for governance, development, and regional stability. Drawing upon primary legal texts, policy documents, and scholarly literature, this study evaluates the motivations behind the decision, its immediate outcomes, and the ongoing challenges in post-abrogation Jammu and Kashmir.

**Keywords:** Article 370, Jammu and Kashmir, Indian Constitution, Reorganisation Act 2019, federalism, socio-economic impact

## **1. Introduction**

Article 370 of the Indian Constitution granted the State of Jammu and Kashmir special autonomy within the Union of India. The provision, intended as a temporary arrangement, restricted Parliament's power to legislate on state matters except those relating to defense, foreign affairs, and communications (Noorani, 2011). On August 5, 2019, the Union Government issued the Constitution (Application to Jammu and Kashmir) Order, 2019, and introduced the Jammu and Kashmir Reorganisation Bill, which dissolved the state and created two Union Territories—Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh (Government of India, 2019a).

The abrogation marked a constitutional and political transformation, ending decades of special status and integrating the region more directly into India's legal framework. However, it also raised complex questions about federalism, legality, democracy, and identity (Singh, 2020).

## **2. Legal and Constitutional Background**

### **2.1. Origins of Article 370**

Article 370 emerged from the Instrument of Accession signed by Maharaja Hari Singh in 1947, allowing India to legislate in specified areas while preserving Jammu and Kashmir's internal autonomy (Korbel, 1954). The State's separate constitution, adopted in 1957, reaffirmed this special relationship. Over the years, through a series of Presidential Orders, many provisions of the Indian Constitution were gradually extended to Jammu and Kashmir (Noorani, 2011).

### **2.2. The 2019 Legal Procedure**

The Constitution (Application to Jammu and Kashmir) Order, 2019 (C.O. 272), issued under Article 370(1)(d), extended all provisions of the Indian Constitution to the State (Government of India, 2019a). Subsequently, a Presidential Order (C.O. 273) rendered Article 370 inoperative, while Parliament enacted the Jammu and Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019 (Government of India, 2019b). The reorganisation divided the State into two Union Territories: Jammu and Kashmir (with legislature) and Ladakh (without legislature).

This legal strategy—executed while the State was under President's Rule—was unprecedented, leading scholars to debate its constitutional validity and procedural propriety (Verma, 2020).

## **3. Judicial and Political Developments**

### **3.1. Judicial Review**

Multiple petitions were filed before the Supreme Court of India challenging the constitutional validity of the abrogation. Petitioners argued that the Union Government could not revoke Article 370 unilaterally without the concurrence of the Constituent Assembly of Jammu and Kashmir, which had dissolved in 1957 (Bhatia, 2021). The Supreme Court has since consolidated these petitions and continues to examine the legal arguments (Supreme Court of India, 2024).

### **3.2. Political Responses**

The move was celebrated by national political parties as a step toward national integration, while regional parties in Jammu and Kashmir viewed it as a betrayal of federal principles and a blow to democracy (Rashid, 2020). Pakistan reacted strongly, downgrading diplomatic ties with India, and raising the issue in international forums (Ahmad, 2020).

## **4. Socio-Economic Impacts**

### **4.1. Economic Transformation**

Post-2019, the Union Government launched new investment initiatives and infrastructure projects aimed at boosting employment and connectivity in Jammu and Kashmir (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2021). Reports indicate improvements in road networks, tourism, and education infrastructure (NITI Aayog, 2022). However, independent researchers note that growth remains uneven, with limited private investment and persistent unemployment (Kumar, 2023).

### **4.2. Security and Civil Rights**

The abrogation was followed by communication shutdowns and preventive detentions, raising concerns about civil liberties (Human Rights Watch, 2020). Although the region has gradually reopened and elections have been held at local levels, mistrust between the administration and citizens persists (Jha, 2022).

### **4.3. Social and Cultural Implications**

The legal integration of Jammu and Kashmir into the Indian Union has brought new opportunities for migration, education, and interregional exchange. However, sections of society fear loss of identity, land ownership rights, and cultural erosion (Wani, 2021).

## **5. Discussion**

The abrogation of Article 370 has redefined the nature of Indian federalism. Legally, it tests the limits of constitutional flexibility and the central government's power under President's Rule.

Politically, it demonstrates the dominance of national security discourse over federal autonomy (Choudhary, 2021).

Socio-economically, the region is witnessing a phase of state-led modernization, but without parallel political reconciliation, development may remain superficial. For lasting peace, both political inclusion and social justice are essential.

## 6. Conclusion

The 2019 abrogation of Article 370 represents one of the most significant constitutional and political developments in post-independence India. While it has integrated Jammu and Kashmir fully into the Union's administrative and legal framework, it also sparked debates on democracy, federalism, and the rights of states. The long-term success of this move depends on ensuring participatory governance, equitable development, and the restoration of trust among the people of Jammu and Kashmir.

## 7. Policy Recommendations

1. **Ensure equitable development:** Prioritize employment, education, and women's empowerment in developmental programs.
2. **Restore statehood:** Reinstating Jammu and Kashmir's statehood would strengthen democratic participation.
3. **Enhance transparency:** Regularly publish data on investment, employment, and human rights indicators.
4. **Promote dialogue:** Encourage inter-community and inter-regional dialogue for long-term peacebuilding.

## References

1. Ahmad, I. (2020). *Kashmir after Article 370: International responses and regional security*. Routledge.

2. Bhatia, G. (2021). *The transformative constitution: A legal analysis of Article 370*. Oxford University Press.
3. Choudhary, A. (2021). Federalism in India after the abrogation of Article 370. *Indian Journal of Political Science*, 82(3), 45–58.
4. Government of India. (2019a). *The Constitution (Application to Jammu and Kashmir) Order, 2019 (C.O. 272)*. Ministry of Law and Justice.
5. Government of India. (2019b). *The Jammu and Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019*. The Gazette of India.
6. Human Rights Watch. (2020). “Everyone Lives in Fear”: Patterns of repression in Jammu and Kashmir.
7. Jha, P. (2022). Democracy and dissent in post-Article 370 Kashmir. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 57(12), 33–42.
8. Korbel, J. (1954). *Danger in Kashmir*. Princeton University Press.
9. Kumar, R. (2023). Development paradox in post-Article 370 Kashmir. *Contemporary South Asia*, 31(2), 198–212.
10. Ministry of Home Affairs. (2021). *Annual Report 2020–2021*. Government of India.
11. NITI Aayog. (2022). *Transforming Jammu & Kashmir: Developmental initiatives since 2019*. Government of India.
12. Noorani, A. G. (2011). *Article 370: A constitutional history of Jammu and Kashmir*. Oxford University Press.
13. Rashid, Y. (2020). Kashmir’s politics after the revocation of autonomy. *Asian Survey*, 60(4), 703–726.
14. Singh, M. P. (2020). Constitutionalism and the Kashmir question. *Indian Journal of Constitutional Law*, 14(1), 1–25.
15. Supreme Court of India. (2024). *In re: Article 370 abrogation petitions*. Supreme Court of India (Case files).
16. Verma, R. (2020). Legalities of the abrogation of Article 370: A constitutional assessment. *Journal of Indian Law and Society*, 11(2), 56–74.
17. Wani, Z. A. (2021). Cultural identity and governance in post-Article 370 Jammu and Kashmir. *Sociological Review of India*, 9(2), 112–129