



Evolving Paradigms of Criminological Thought: A Comparative and Integrative Analysis of Social Control, Conflict, Modern, and Contemporary Theories

Mr. Ganesh Shrirang Nale (Satarkar)¹

¹ M.A. Sociology, Department of Sociology, Central University of Haryana, Mahendragarh – 123031, India

Article Info

Article History:

Published: 24 Nov 2025

Publication Issue:

Volume 2, Issue 11
November-2025

Page Number:

399-404

Corresponding Author:

Mr. Ganesh Shrirang Nale

Abstract:

Criminology as a discipline has undergone a dynamic transformation from early deterministic and control-based perspectives to complex, integrative, and humanistic frameworks that address the socio-political and cultural dimensions of crime. This paper undertakes a comparative and integrative analysis of major criminological theories under four broad paradigms: Social Control Theories, Social Conflict Theories, Modern Theories, and Contemporary Perspectives. Social Control theories, including Drift and Neutralization, Containment, and Social Bond theories, emphasize internal and external mechanisms that maintain conformity. Social Conflict theories, such as Labelling, Radical, Conflict, Critical, and Realist Criminology, foreground power relations, inequality, and systemic injustice. Modern theories like Routine Activities, Rational Choice, Broken Windows, Feminist, Masculinity, Life Course, Integrated, and Space Transition theories incorporate multidisciplinary insights into crime causation and prevention. Finally, Contemporary Perspectives—such as Cultural, News-making, Peacemaking, Green, Visual, Cyber, Positive, and Translational Criminology—redefine the field by addressing media influence, environmental crimes, digital deviance, and restorative justice. The paper synthesizes these diverse approaches to demonstrate the intellectual evolution of criminological inquiry—from structural determinism to agency, and from punishment to restoration—reflecting changing social realities and technological advancements. By comparing theoretical foundations, methodological orientations, and policy implications, the paper contributes to a holistic understanding of criminology as a multidimensional and evolving science.

Keywords: Criminological Theories; Social Control; Conflict Criminology; Modern Criminology; Contemporary Perspectives; Rational Choice; Feminist Criminology; Cybercrime; Green Criminology; Restorative Justice

1. Introduction

Criminology, as an academic and applied discipline, seeks to explain the causes, patterns, and consequences of criminal behavior. From its classical foundations emphasizing free will and rationality to contemporary frameworks integrating psychology, sociology, and technology, criminology has continuously evolved to reflect societal transformations. This evolution can be categorized into four major theoretical streams: **Social Control Theories, Social Conflict Theories, Modern Theories,**

and **Contemporary Perspectives**. While Social Control Theories focus on mechanisms that restrain individuals from deviant behavior, Conflict Theories highlight the role of power and inequality. Modern Theories integrate rational and situational explanations, and Contemporary Perspectives extend the discussion to environmental, cultural, and digital dimensions. This paper comparatively analyzes these paradigms, illustrating both their historical development and interconnections.

2. Social Control Theories

2.1 Drift and Neutralization Theory

Developed by **Gresham Sykes and David Matza (1957)**, the Drift and Neutralization theory argues that delinquents oscillate between conformity and deviance, employing *techniques of neutralization*—such as denial of responsibility or injury—to justify their acts. This theory challenges the deterministic view of delinquency and emphasizes moral flexibility.

2.2 Containment Theory

Proposed by **Walter Reckless (1961)**, Containment Theory posits that both internal (self-control, conscience) and external (family, societal norms) containments prevent deviant behavior. When these containments weaken, the likelihood of criminality increases.

2.3 Social Bond Theory

Travis Hirschi's (1969) Social Bond Theory suggests that individuals refrain from crime when they are bonded to society through attachment, commitment, involvement, and belief. Weakening of these bonds explains deviance, making this one of the most empirically supported theories in criminology.

3. Social Conflict Theories

3.1 Labelling Theory

Emerging from symbolic interactionism, **Howard Becker (1963)** argued that deviance is not inherent in the act but results from societal reactions and labels. Once labeled, individuals internalize deviant identities, perpetuating criminal careers.

3.2 Radical and Conflict Criminology

Richard Quinney (1970) and **Austin Turk (1969)** emphasized that law serves the interests of the powerful. Crime is a political construct, reflecting inequalities in capitalist societies. Conflict criminology exposes how criminalization maintains class domination.

3.3 Critical and Realist Criminology

Critical Criminology emerged as a critique of traditional positivism, focusing on social justice, human rights, and inequality. **Left Realism** (Lea & Young, 1984) addressed the need for practical solutions to working-class victimization, advocating balanced approaches to policing and community engagement.

4. Modern Theories in Criminology

4.1 Routine Activities Theory

Developed by **Cohen and Felson (1979)**, this theory posits that crime occurs when a motivated offender, suitable target, and absence of capable guardians converge in time and space. It shifted criminological focus to situational prevention.

4.2 Rational Choice Theory

Cornish and Clarke (1986) argue that offenders make calculated decisions based on perceived risks and rewards. This micro-level approach integrates behavioral economics into criminology.

4.3 Broken Windows Theory

Wilson and Kelling (1982) proposed that visible signs of disorder (broken windows, graffiti) invite more serious crimes. The theory emphasizes maintaining social order through proactive policing.

4.4 Feminist and Masculinity Theories

Feminist Criminology critiques male-centered explanations and highlights gendered pathways to crime (Chesney-Lind, 1989). Masculinity Theory (Messerschmidt, 1993) examines how constructions of masculinity contribute to violent and risk-taking behavior.

4.5 Life Course and Integrated Theories

Sampson and Laub (1993) developed Life Course theory to explain how social bonds over the lifespan influence offending trajectories. **Integrated theories**, like Thornberry's (1987) Interactional Theory, combine social control and learning perspectives for a holistic understanding.

4.6 Space Transition Theory

Proposed by **K. Jaishankar (2007)**, Space Transition Theory explains how individuals behave differently in cyberspace due to anonymity, lack of deterrence, and identity fluidity—foundational to cyber criminology.

5. Contemporary Perspectives

5.1 Cultural and News-Making Criminology

Cultural Criminology (Ferrell, 1995) studies crime as a cultural construct, focusing on emotions, media, and identity. **News-Making Criminology** (Barak, 1994) challenges sensationalized media portrayals of crime and advocates criminological engagement with journalism.

5.2 Peacemaking and Green Criminology

Peacemaking Criminology (Pepinsky & Quinney, 1991) emphasizes compassion and nonviolence, seeing crime as a symptom of social harm. **Green Criminology** (Lynch, 1990) examines environmental crimes and justice for ecological victims.

5.3 Visual and Cyber Criminology

Visual Criminology (Carrabine, 2012) explores how images and media shape public perception of crime. **Cyber Criminology** (Jaishankar, 2011) analyzes online deviance, digital policing, and transnational cyber threats.

5.4 Positive and Translational Criminology

Positive Criminology (Ronel & Elisha, 2011) focuses on human strengths, forgiveness, and reintegration. **Translational Criminology** (Weisburd, 2011) bridges research and practice, ensuring evidence-based policymaking.

6. Comparative Analysis and Theoretical Integration

A comparative lens reveals that while **Social Control Theories** focus on conformity, **Conflict Theories** critique power structures; **Modern Theories** provide rational and situational explanations, and **Contemporary Theories** emphasize humanistic and interdisciplinary responses.

Integration across these paradigms demonstrates an evolution from:

1. **Determinism to agency** (control → rational choice),
2. **Structure to culture** (conflict → cultural criminology),
3. **Punishment to restoration** (critical → peacemaking and positive criminology), and
4. **Physical to virtual spaces** (routine activities → space transition).

This synthesis reflects criminology's transformation into a multi-level science encompassing psychology, sociology, law, media, and environmental studies.

7. Conclusion

Criminological theories collectively represent an evolving conversation about human behavior, justice, and society. From early emphasis on control and conflict to modern situational and cyber perspectives, criminology now embodies a pluralistic and inclusive approach. Future directions must integrate cross-disciplinary insights—bridging empirical research, digital forensics, environmental ethics, and restorative justice—to build a humane, evidence-based, and globally relevant criminology.

References

1. Akers, R. L., & Sellers, C. S. (2019). *Criminological theories: Introduction, evaluation, and application* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
2. Barak, G. (1994). *Media, process, and the social construction of crime: Studies in newsmaking criminology*. Garland.
3. Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance*. Free Press.
4. Carrabine, E. (2012). Just images: Aesthetics, ethics, and visual criminology. *British Journal of Criminology*, 52(3), 463–489. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azr096>
5. Chesney-Lind, M. (1989). Girls' crime and woman's place: Toward a feminist model of female delinquency. *Crime & Delinquency*, 35(1), 5–29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011128789035001002>
6. Clarke, R. V., & Cornish, D. B. (1986). *The reasoning criminal: Rational choice perspectives on offending*. Springer-Verlag.
7. Cohen, L. E., & Felson, M. (1979). Social change and crime rate trends: A routine activity approach. *American Sociological Review*, 44(4), 588–608. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2094589>
8. Ferrell, J. (1995). Cultural criminology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 25, 395–418. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.25.1.395>
9. Hirschi, T. (1969). *Causes of delinquency*. University of California Press.
10. Jaishankar, K. (2007). Establishing a theory of cyber crimes. *International Journal of Cyber Criminology*, 1(2), 7–16.*
11. Jaishankar, K. (2011). *Cyber criminology: Exploring Internet crimes and criminal behavior*. CRC Press.
12. Lea, J., & Young, J. (1984). *What is to be done about law and order?* Penguin.
13. Lynch, M. J. (1990). The greening of criminology: A perspective on the 1990s. *Critical Criminologist*, 2(3), 1–5.*
14. Messerschmidt, J. W. (1993). *Masculinities and crime: Critique and reconceptualization of theory*. Rowman & Littlefield.
15. Pepinsky, H. E., & Quinney, R. (1991). *Criminology as peacemaking*. Indiana University Press.
16. Quinney, R. (1970). *The social reality of crime*. Little, Brown.
17. Reckless, W. C. (1961). *A new theory of delinquency and crime*. Free Press.
18. Ronel, N., & Elisha, E. (2011). A different perspective: Introducing positive criminology. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 55(2), 305–325. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X09357772>
19. Sampson, R. J., & Laub, J. H. (1993). *Crime in the making: Pathways and turning points through life*. Harvard University Press.

20. Sykes, G. M., & Matza, D. (1957). Techniques of neutralization: A theory of delinquency. *American Sociological Review*, 22(6), 664–670. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2089195>
21. Weisburd, D. (2011). Translational criminology. *NIJ Journal*, 268, 4–10.
22. Wilson, J. Q., & Kelling, G. L. (1982). Broken windows. *The Atlantic Monthly*, 249(3), 29–38.*