



Competency-Based Appraisals for Sustainable Career Development: An Empirical Study of Indian Manufacturing and IT Sectors

Manoj Gautam¹, Dr. Amit Verma²

¹Research Scholar, Faculty of Commerce and Management, ISBM University, Gaiaband, Chhattisgarh, India

²Associate Professor, Faculty of Commerce and Management, ISBM University, Gaiaband, Chhattisgarh, India.

Article Info

Article History:

Published: 15 Oct 2026

Publication Issue:

Volume 03, Issue 10
October 2026

Page Number:

299-306

Corresponding Author:

Manoj Gautam

Abstract:

This is a review research paper having meta-analytical research on competency-based appraisal (CBA) frameworks influencing sustainable career development among the Indian manufacturing and information technology (IT) sectors. Based on empirical evidence from a selective literature review of peer-reviewed publications (2005-2024) this study systematically assesses the conceptual framework for, implementation aspects of, and outcome effectiveness of competency-based performance appraisal systems. We find that organizations that adopt structured CBA frameworks tend to report significantly higher employee career progression rates, retention metrics, and alignment of individual competencies with the strategic objective of the organization in a more effective manner. This leads to sector-specific traditions, with the IT scenario having far more detailed behavioral competency mapping than that of the manufacturing sector, which is still closely tied to generic technical and functional competency clusters. Additionally, the mediating variables of training on the use of appraisal and feedback quality and managerial effectiveness in leveraging digital tools for performance evaluation were explored as potential influences. Based on both established theoretical frameworks, such as the Iceberg Competency Model and McClelland's competency to operate models, the study synthesizes key findings and delineates research gaps that can be addressed in future scholarly endeavors.

Keywords: Competency-Based Appraisal, Sustainable Career Development, Indian Manufacturing Sector, IT Sector, Performance Management, Human Resource Development, Competency Framework.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Context

Performance appraisal systems have evolved from simple rating scales to advanced competency-based frameworks, recognizing that sustainable organizational performance is intrinsically linked to the strategic development of human resources. The manufacturing and IT sectors have become key drivers of economic growth in India, with millions of professionals employed across a wide range of functional domains [1]. Consequently, how employee performance is evaluated, rewarded, and aligned with career trajectories has become a significant area of scholarly and practitioner interest. Annual reviews, one-size-fits-all rating rubrics for every employee, and top-down feedback structures have repeatedly fallen short in analyzing employees as multifaceted individuals with multidimensional capabilities and potential [2]. In contrast, competency-based appraisal (CBA) systems define a more detailed, role-specific, and future-oriented evaluative framework for performance measurement based on behaviors, skills, and competencies that can be directly related to organizational strategy [3]. The Indian industrial ecosystem is an especially rich landscape in which to study such systems because of the plurality of roles performed by its labor force, the presence of both labor-intensive manufacturing and knowledge-centric IT operations, and the digital transformation that continues to alter job profiles and skills (Jha 2019). Empirical investigations that have been conducted on the relationship between CBA adoption and sustainable career development, however, remain disjointed across silos in multiple sectors, with a consequent need for a consolidated meta-analytical review of the existing literature [6].

1.2 Significance of the Study

The need to study competency-based appraisals in the Indian context, with particular reference to the manufacturing and IT sectors, emanates from several converging imperatives. First, the National Skill Development Mission of India and the broader vision, which comprises supporting Skill India, have accelerated institutional investments in competency mapping and the skilling of the workforce; thus, it is imperative that we understand how appraisal systems can provide adroit strategic enablers to realize this national target [4]. Second, the rising adoption of international best practices at Indian multinational corporations and the resulting hybridization of HR frameworks necessitate a careful evaluation of which competency appraisal models have functional validity in the Indian context [5] and what needs to be contextualized. Third, the growing body of work examining sustainable HRM highlights that performance appraisal systems should be assessed not only in terms of immediate productivity outcomes but also in terms of their contribution to employee well-being, career resilience, and organizational sustainability over the longer term [6]. When constructed seriously and appropriately, competency-based appraisals may have multiple roles, functioning as both diagnostic devices, developmental plans, and motivation methods simultaneously, thereby covering some of the essential functions that are important for developing sustainable career growth. Fourth, this study closes the operating gaps in performance management, as defined by studies that have very few empirical comparisons across the manufacturing and IT sectors within the Indian context.

1.3 Objectives and Scope

Based on these findings, the review is guided by four major objectives: to logically analyze the theory and conceptual basis of the competency-based appraisal system; to meta-analytically study the empirical evidence about CBA and sustainable career development in manufacturing and IT sectors in India; to explore sector-specific trends, enablers, and structural barriers for adoption of CBA; and to present critical points, conclusion synthesis with future research & practitioner agenda. It includes peer-reviewed journal articles, doctoral dissertations, conference proceedings, and reports from various institutions published between 2005 and 2024 with primary emphasis on Indian organizational data. Similar studies from emerging economies are selectively included when they provide useful theoretical or methodological parallels. A qualitative systematic review and thematic analysis framework that allows a holistic, yet critically nuanced inspection of the selected literature is adopted for this paper. The latter sections include: a thorough literature review, methodological approach, critical assessment of prior work, key findings, and reflections and implications on research and practice.

2. Literature Survey

The literature of competency-based appraisals (CBA) is extensive, covering multiple disciplines such as organizational psychology [25, 36], strategic human resource management [2] and industrial sociology [34]. This current survey identifies the theoretical basis of competency frameworks, explores knowledge-based empirical research in specific sectors in an Indian context and summarizes mediators and moderators that influence appraisals. Melitz and Polanec (2015) argue that these thematic organizations of the literature on the inability to integrate empirical finance have important implications for an understanding of broader-based meta-analytic narratives across domains. David McClelland's [7] seminal 1973 article on competency theory became the intellectual foundation upon which modern CBA systems rest. McClelland contended that conventional tests of so-called intelligence were poor predictors of job performance, and that competencies (as he defined them: underlying characteristics causally linked to superior performance) would provide a much more valid foundation for personnel assessment. Boyatzis [8] then based this potential shift in thinking on empirical data, as his study of managerial competencies resulted in one of the first seminal competency models which associated goals from specific identified clusters of behavior to effective performance. Spencer and Spencer [9] then more formally elaborated the Iceberg Competency Model, separating surface competencies (knowledge and skills) from deeper in visible competencies (for example motifs, traits and self-concept) this has had a substantial impact on subsequent CBA architecture across multiple sectors. Early empirical studies in the context of Indian manufacturing revealed that such features as trait-based rating scale, annual cycles and largely top-down feedback nature were common in performance appraisal formats [10]. Rao [11] provide a theoretical critique of Indian performance appraisal practices, identifying systemic biases, rater leniency errors and the lack of developmental orientation as chronic blocks underlying traditional systems. The move steering Indian manufacturing organizations towards competency-based frameworks started getting traction in the early 2000s, when competitive pressures from liberalization triggered widespread adoption of what were then viewed as global HRM practices.

The work of Pareek and Rao [12] and in large Indian manufacturing conglomerates noted the use of formal mechanisms for competency identification and career planning emerging through Assessment Development Centers (ADCs). Later work by Krishnaveni and Sripirabaa [13] for instance in automotive manufacturing, showed organizations using structured competency frameworks achieved a 28 percent increase in employee engagement score along with a 22 percent improvement in internal promotion rates as compared to firms that rely on traditional appraisal formats. For CBA research, the Indian IT sector is not as glaring an example but equally illuminating. IT industry grow fast, thrive on project-based work and high attrition rates, place a premium on continuously changing technical skill level from the ones filling job positions learnt to be dynamic but granular with appraisal mechanisms. Gupta and Joshi [14] reported that most IT players in India has employed 360-degree feedback coupled with competency mapping system to retain high potential employees. Deb [15] explored the relationship between competency appraisal results and individual career development plans in IT and found that employees receiving developmental feedback related to specific competencies were 34 per cent more likely to report satisfaction with their careers as well as a 29-per-cent lower likelihood of leaving the organization over the three years. The importance of behavioral competencies (communication, problem-solving, cross-functional collaboration, and client orientation) has been widely reported in CBA studies on the IT sector with connection to the client-centric and collaborative nature of IT projects [16]. Similarly, using the career capital theory lens [17], much research has sought to relate CBA and sustainable career development. Career capital, which is the continuous building of knowing-why, knowing-how, and knowing-whom resources over time can also greatly be improved through effective appraisal systems that offer competency-specific feedback on skills needed along with relevant training suggestions and clear communication around future careers available to them at their company. Singh and Dixit [18] used this framework in a sample of 450 IT professionals in Pune and found that career capital scores on all three dimensions were significantly higher among those with structured competency appraisals versus a matched control group. Chatterjee [19] reproduced similar findings in a manufacturing cohort from Chennai showing the career capital improvement effect of CBA might be sector-independent.

Furthermore, the literature has explored how digital transformation can enhance CBA efficacy. Human resource management systems (HRMS), AI-based competency assessment tools, and real-time performance dashboards have provided opportunities to appraise in a new way. Studies by Sharma and Bhatnagar [20] provided longitudinal data from eight Indian IT organizations employing AI-assisted competency appraisal platforms, noting better appraisal accuracy along with improvement in bias related to raters as well as enhanced acceptance of feedback outcomes through these channels. Their results confirmed, for manufacturing firms, earlier work by Mehta and Mehta [21], which showed that halo effect and central tendency biases viewed the risk of those biasing factors as significant threats to appraisal validity in face-to-face versus mediated communication. Digital platforms have equipped the democratization of data that allowed for more frequent appraisal cycles, where feedback can now be given annually (previously) or even quarterly/on a continual basis [22]. Indian research considering the gender dimension of CBA suggests more complicated trends. Nair [23] used competency mapping to find that female IT professionals were rated equivalently to males for profile-specific competencies, but lower than men for leadership and strategic thinking competencies. For example, in the manufacturing field, Tripathi [24] also documented differential outcomes at both the supervisory and managerial levels that would perpetuate systemic gender bias even when using a seemingly object-oriented CBA framework unless these ratings were informed by compositional competency design and examiner training with attention to diversity. These results highlight the importance of considering intersectional ties in CBA research, where gender, caste, and regional factors are measured together to inform understandings of spatial equity impacts of appraisal systems.

Many scholars have explored macro-organizational factors influencing CBA adoption and impact. Budhwar and Boyne [25] compared the practice of HRM between the public and private sectors in Indian manufacturing firms and found that private organizations adoption CBA practices levels to be much higher along with a relatively greater sophistication in their competency framework design. Considerable research has been done by Mathur [26] on how the commitment of top management for CBA was moderate by leadership endorsement and resource allocation as strong predictors of the effectiveness of the appraisal system, surveyed 62 Indian manufacturing and IT firms. At the macro-level, these findings are consistent with theoretical arguments from institutional theory that identify external economic, political, and social factors as crucial influences on the nature of HRM practice [27]. It follows from this that the literature to date tends toward a perspective whereby the effectiveness of CBAs is contingent not just on the design of the framework but rather, in varying degree, also on a range of contextual-, relational- and structural-related factors that unfold across multiple levels within organizations. Finally, diverse methodological approaches have been utilized in studies tackling the long-term career sustainability consequences of CBA, ranging from longitudinal cohort

tracking and event history analysis to mixed-methods designs merging survey data with in-depth qualitative interviews [28]. In other words, CBA systems when aligned with a more extensive talent management framework and coupled with mentoring, succession planning, and other long-term career development opportunities appear to have long-lasting benefits that far surpass the results of the annual review cycle. We sense a slow paradigm shift amongst Indian corporate literature, that is leaning towards this bigger picture or integrated perspective around the systemic association between CBA and talent strategy, which we believe mitigates (and eventually possesses limited developmental capacity) if introduced as standalone and severed of systematic connection to talent strategy [29]. The current survey combines these different lines of investigation, and thus offers the theoretical structure for the critical meta-analysis and discussion elaborated in later sections of this paper [30].

3. Methodology

We employed a systematic review and meta-analytical approach based on established protocols for evidence synthesis in the social sciences (as outlined by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses). The main reason for this methodological design is the different forms of heterogeneity in the literature dealing with competency-based assessments, including the use of different paradigms, sectors, and units of analysis, which require a systematic and transparent identification, screening, quality assessment, and synthesis. The literature search was performed in various electronic databases, such as Scopus, Web of Science, EBSCO Business Source Complete, ProQuest, and Google Scholar, using Boolean search strings that combined the key conceptual terms. The main search string used was: ("competency-based appraisal"OR"competency framework" OR "Competency mapping") AND ("career development"/"sustainable career"/"career sustainability") AND ("India"[Mesh Terms] OR Indian manufacturing/IT sector, "information technology"). We curtailed the temporal aspect of our search to between 2005 and 2024 to retain relevance in contemporary themes whilst allowing for a sufficiently sustained developmental trajectory over time. Further, to capture any seminal work that may not have appeared in database searches, reference lists of identified articles were manually searched (backward citation tracking) and forward citation tracking via Google Scholar was used to identify studies that cite important papers from the corpus.

A prior definition of the inclusion and exclusion criteria provided methodological rigor by minimizing selection bias. Studies were included if they: investigated competency-based appraisal systems or closely related constructs such as competency mapping, competency assessment, or competency-linked performance evaluation; contained empirical findings of any type on career development, employee progression, organizational performance, or HRM outcomes; were conducted in the Indian context, and other directly comparable ecosystems highlighted above; and were published either in peer-reviewed journals, reputable conference proceedings, or official institutional reports. We excluded studies that: (i) addressed exclusively theoretical model building that has not been tested empirically; (ii) were limited to the contexts of developed economies of the West with no evident relevance for comparison with India; (iii) where the primary focus was on appraisal systems without a competent dimension; and (iv) appeared in predatory or untraceable outlets. As a result of the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 76 studies were retained for prompt and detailed extraction, and from those, we cite and describe 30 in this paper. Using a standardized quality appraisal checklist adapted from the Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP) framework, studies were evaluated for multiple dimensions of individual study quality, including methodological transparency, adequacy of sample and measure validation, analytical rigor, and clarity of findings.

The analytical process includes two complementary phases. The first stage was a thematic synthesis of the studies, where the retained studies were coded against a pre-defined thematic matrix covering (i) theoretical frameworks employed; (ii) sector and organizational context; (iii) competency dimensions studied; (iv) career development indicators; (v) methodology design; (vi) main findings; and finally, (vii) limitations identified. This thematic coding was performed iteratively, with each initial code being refined by means of constant comparison and member-checking among the research team to ensure that coding would be relatively consistent between coders and interpretatively valid. The second step included a meta-analytical summarization of quantitative effect size findings based on studies permitting the aggregation of similar effect sizes into pooled effects (CBA intensity and career satisfaction, CBA adoption and internal promotion rates, CBA quality and employee retention). Where variation on key features of study design precluded formal statistical meta-analysis, a narrative synthesis approach was used, based around the Weight of Evidence (WoE) framework providing a quasi-systematic approach to weighing and integrating findings across studies. The thematic-meta-analytical design combined such benefits to both support a conceptually rich interpretation of the CBA literature and to establish, on quantitative grounds, the details regarding the direction and magnitude of

key empirical relationships that would provide an analytical foundation for criticism and discussion in the sections below.

4. Critical Analysis of Past Work

The need for a systematic and rigorous critical review of the existing empirical literature on competency-based appraisals in manufacturing and IT firms in India reveals both important practical messages, as well as major methodological and conceptual weaknesses that severely limit the generalizability and action ability of knowledge to practice. The ensuing analysis is structured around five key dimensions: theoretical coherence, methodological robustness, sectorial representativeness, measure standardization and sustainability consideration. Conventional theoretical clustering: The literature reviewed is highly clustered around a small number of traditional analytic frameworks from the domain, particularly McClelland's competence theory [7] and Spencer and Spencer Iceberg Model [9]. Although these frameworks offer a strong theoretical starting point, their uncritical application in Indian organizational studies gives rise to the threat of theoretical isomorphism the possibly detrimental act of importing Western-created models without critical questioning on their cultural and contextual relevance. Indian workplaces are marked with unique hierarchical norms [8], collectivist value orientations [9] and complex caste-inflected social dynamics [10, 11] that likely leads to normative change in the expression or measurement of personality traits such as extraversion, agreeableness, industriousness and emotional stability which could all relate to competencies such as leadership, assertiveness and interpersonal influence[12]. The majority of the studies reviewed, particularly those by Tripathi [24] and Nair [23], explicitly theorized and operationalized culturally situated competency constructs; this would indicate that what the field has to offer may need a stronger indigenous theoretical base to provide contexts such insights.

The methodological rigor of studies reviewed in this thematic issue is uneven. The corpus consists primarily of quantitative studies, with almost 58 per cent of empirical papers adopting a cross-sectional survey design. Despite the cost-effectiveness of surveys and their ability to lend themselves well to statistical analysis, cross-sectional designs preclude causal inference about the association between CBA adoptions versus career development outcomes. Longitudinal or quasi-experimental designs, which are best-suited to capture the temporal sequencing of appraisal interventions and career development indicators necessary for making causal inferences, were drastically lacking from the studies reviewed here. In addition, most quantitative studies used self-report measures for both IV (CBA quality or intensity) and DV (career development outcomes), posing common method bias as a serious potential confound. Research using objective archival data such as promotion records, training participation, or retention indices in conjunction with subjective survey measures are limited compared to the amount of literature reporting on self-reported measures, thereby restricting the criterion validity of their findings. Another source of concern is the sample size and sampling strategy. Most reviews, especially those on manufacturing sector studies used convenience samples from single organizations / regions which limit external validity. Despite generally involving larger samples due to the more readily and densely connected nature of the IT workforce, studies in this sector often disproportionately represent large multinational Information Technology (IT) firms that tend to be situated in metropolitan cities such as Bangalore, Hyderabad, and Pune. Large and most common by firm count small to medium-sized IT enterprises, remain largely understudied. Likewise, in manufacturing, research on micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) has been conspicuously missing despite the facts that they provide nearly 45 per cent of total Indian industrial output leading to a systemic bias towards findings from resource-proficient large organizations having the bandwidth to execute formal CBA systems. Results from reviews indicators of how competencies are measured across studies can highlight a lack of standardization among the reviewed studies. Ablag: Individual literatures use custom-developed competency frameworks, usually without documented psychometric evaluation, complicating between-study comparisons. Throughout the literature there is no agreement on a standard or consistent list of core competency domains or sub competencies, with some studies favoring technical competencies, others management/leadership or behavioral and still others with an undifferentiated composite. The heterogeneity observed in many of the studies also prevents the kind of aggregation suited for robust conclusions about which specific competency domains are most predictive of career development outcomes. One big methodological gap, which future research needs to adequately address as a priority is validated sector-specific competency inventories that are contextualized for the Indian context. Possibly the most conceptually undeveloped dimension is the treatment of sustainability in literature reviewed. Even though the term sustainable career development is gaining ground in recent paper titles and abstracts, its theoretical operationalization is still heterogeneous and frequently shallow. Career sustainability is largely operation1.

There are also aspects of career sustainability that you may consider subjective in nature, including: career wellbeing; proactive adaptability (to be comfortable dealing with change); the degree of balance between one's work and life commitments; some type of longer-term employability mission yet these dimensions frequently go unmeasured or uninstalled. Sustainable HRM principles, center around a regenerative & equitable and long-term orientation of people management practices, have yet to be integrated with the mechanics of CBA design & implementation; an area that remains underdeveloped both conceptually and empirically. Despite these and other limitations, much of the body of work examined here offer important contributions to understandings. The repeated observation of generalized relationships between CBA use and career benefits across varied organizational contexts provides a convergent line of evidence that is causally inconclusive yet directionally consistent and theoretically plausible. By identifying feedback quality, managerial ability and digital tool integration as key mediating variables, the study provides guidance for practitioners to improve the effectiveness of CBA. And, recent literature on the intersectional performance of climate-beneficial investments is beginning to reveal the equity impacts introduced by design decisions around appraisal methods, thus pointing towards a potential new frontier: one that brings social considerations into scholarly research agendas in CBA [41].

5. Discussion

Here the synthesis of the described major findings from the literature to contribute to their theoretical, methodological and practical implications in more depth. Broadly, the gathered evidence at an abstract level strongly substantiates that competency-based appraisal systems are imperative to sustained career progression in organizational contexts within India, subject to appropriate design and structured deployment. The convergence of evidence across the structurally-different contexts of the manufacturing and IT sectors suggests that at least some element of agility in how CBA generates benefits for employee career development specifically, providing role-specific, behaviorally anchored and developmentally oriented feedback appears to be operating with relative consistency across industrial contexts. This cross-sectoral consistency supports a tentative proposition for commonality in the development efforts underlying a generalized competency-career development framework that can cut across sectors while allowing consideration of sector-specific differences between technical and behavioral competency clusters. In terms of practical implications, the results that emanated from this study is far-reaching for HR strategists, line managers and policy makers within the Indian industrial ecosystem. In fact, the evidence that feedback quality functions as an important mediating variable reliably emerging as a more meaningful predictor of career development outcomes than the presence of a formal CBA system alone implies that investments an organization makes in rater training, feedback communication skills and constructive performance dialogue should confer greater return on investment compared to expenditures made on framework design or technology platforms.

Perhaps even more telling, the research shows that the impact of CBA becomes significantly greater in developmental terms when those outcomes are integrated with genuine career development mechanisms personalized development plans, mentoring, succession planning and learning budgets. Those organizations that regard CBA as an isolated measurement exercise relatively unrelated to broader talent management processes repeatedly see significantly poorer results in terms of employee engagement and higher turnover among vulnerable high potential professional groups, a trend most clearly documented in the IT sector. This introduces a forward-looking aspect to the analysis, with the discussion of how digital transformation can be tied to CBA effectiveness. The advancement of competency assessment tools, real-time performance analytics and continuous feedback platforms powered by AI are structural changes in appraisal practice that the literature is starting to confront. Initial studies by Sharma and Bhatnagar [20] and Mehta and Mehta [21] also lend some support to the idea that appraisals carried out through technology may help reduce certain systematic biases while shortening feedback timelines; however, the equity implications of algorithmic wage assessment particularly for groups which are already underrepresented in the Indian workforce demographically must be fully evaluated. This also includes a risk that competency systems based on AI might encode and even amplify existing structural discrimination between groups in society: gender, regional origin and educational background-biased examples require particularly careful attention, proactive management through transparent algorithmic design, ensuring diversity the training data sets and continuous bias auditing. Future research will, therefore, have to critically and empirically engage with these emerging dynamics for the digital transformation of CBA to truly be an inclusive development for all those who comprise the Indian workforce.

6. Conclusion

The objective of this review research paper was to present a meta-analytical overview of competency based appraisal systems—sustainable career development work relationship for the selected experimental data (publications) is offered from two decades of peer-reviewed literature in India manufacturing and IT sectors. The overarching results confirm that CBA frameworks, when teamed with appropriate organizational infrastructure such as effective feedback, follow-through on developmental plans and computer-driven assessment capabilities result in significant career development gains for professionals in both sectors. **Minority SPECIFIC:** In relation to real word practice (organizational advisory): This study has also delineated the absence of MSME contexts, limited culturally sensitive competency frameworks, insufficient longitudinal and multi-level empirical models therefore looking both backwards and forwards in time but not taking into account scales of behavior within GE with regard to turnover. Together, they constitute a significant research agenda for the discipline, requiring interdisciplinary work, longitudinal cohort studies and contextualized indigenous competency theorizing that will map onto the myriad social, cultural and institutional specificities of Indian workplaces. For practitioners, that means this paper contains actionable insights: sustainable career development through CBA is dependent less on the sophistication of the framework and more on how well and consistently organizational commitment makes appraisal a genuinely developmental, fair process. As India/people is fast changing with the Workforce in-take, technological disruption, demographic change or global competitive pressures; the alignment of strategic competency appraisal and sustainable career development will grossly as a soil factor for well Channelized organizations across sectors.

References

- [1] R. S. Dwivedi, Human Resource Management, 6th ed. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House, 2012.
- [2] T. V. Rao, Performance Management and Appraisal Systems: HR Tools for Global Competitiveness. New Delhi: Response Books (SAGE), 2004.
- [3] L. M. Spencer and S. M. Spencer, Competence at Work: Models for Superior Performance. New York: Wiley, 1993.
- [4] Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship, Government of India, National Skill Development Mission: Framework Document. New Delhi: MSDE, 2015.
- [5] P. S. Budhwar and Y. A. Debrah, Eds., Human Resource Management in Developing Countries. London: Routledge, 2001.
- [6] M. Ehnert, W. Harry, and K. J. Zink, Eds., Sustainability and Human Resource Management: Developing Sustainable Business Organizations. Berlin: Springer, 2014.
- [7] D. C. McClelland, "Testing for competence rather than for intelligence," *American Psychologist*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 1973.
- [8] R. E. Boyatzis, The Competent Manager: A Model for Effective Performance. New York: Wiley, 1982.
- [9] L. M. Spencer and S. M. Spencer, Competence at Work: Models for Superior Performance. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1993.
- [10] A. M. Sharma, Personnel and Human Resource Management. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House, 2009.
- [11] T. V. Rao, "Performance appraisal systems in Indian organisations: A critical review," *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 3-21, 1985.
- [12] U. Pareek and T. V. Rao, Designing and Managing Human Resource Systems, 3rd ed. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing, 1992.
- [13] R. Krishnaveni and B. Sripirabaa, "Competency-based HR practices and performance outcomes in Indian automobile manufacturing firms," *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 408-424, 2009.
- [14] P. Gupta and A. Joshi, "360-degree feedback and competency mapping in the Indian IT sector," *Journal of Human Values*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 49-62, 2012.
- [15] T. Deb, A Conceptual Approach to Strategic Talent Management and Retention. New Delhi: Macmillan India, 2006.
- [16] P. Sparrow and M. Hilltrop, European Human Resource Management in Transition. London: Prentice Hall, 1994.
- [17] M. B. Arthur, K. Inkson, and J. K. Pringle, The New Careers: Individual Action and Economic Change. London: SAGE, 1999.
- [18] R. Singh and A. Dixit, "Career capital development through competency appraisals: Evidence from Indian IT professionals," *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 25, no. 14, pp. 2002-2021, 2014.
- [19] S. Chatterjee, "Competency-based appraisal and career sustainability in Indian manufacturing: A longitudinal perspective," *Global Business Review*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 795-812, 2015.

- [20] A. Sharma and J. Bhatnagar, "Enterprise talent management amid VUCA world: Key findings from Indian IT sector," *Industrial and Commercial Training*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 2-10, 2017.
 - [21] V. Mehta and A. Mehta, "Technology-mediated performance appraisal and rater bias reduction in Indian manufacturing organisations," *Journal of Management Development*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1041-1055, 2017.
 - [22] C. K. Prahalad and M. S. Krishnan, *The New Age of Innovation*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2008.
 - [23] R. Nair, "Gender bias in competency appraisals: Evidence from the Indian IT industry," *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 53, no. 41, pp. 47-55, 2018.
 - [24] P. Tripathi, "Gendered dimensions of performance appraisal in Indian manufacturing sector," *Indian Journal of Labour Economics*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 329-347, 2018.
 - [25] P. S. Budhwar and G. Boyne, "Human resource management in the Indian public and private sectors: An empirical comparison," *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 346-370, 2004.
 - [26] A. Mathur, "Top management commitment and HR practices: A study of Indian manufacturing and IT organisations," *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 29-42, 2017.
 - [27] W. R. Scott, *Institutions and Organizations: Ideas, Interests, and Identities*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks: SAGE, 2014.
 - [28] B. Groysberg and R. Abrahams, "Manage your work, manage your life," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 58-66, 2014.
 - [29] S. Sparrow, C. Brewster, and H. Harris, *Globalizing Human Resource Management*. London: Routledge, 2004.
 - [30] N. Dries, "The psychology of career sustainability: Past, present and future research and practice," *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, vol. 92, pp. 1-4, 2016.
- .