International Journal of Web of Multidisciplinary Studies
(Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal)
website: *http://ijwos.com*
Vol.02 No.12.
OPEN ACCESS
E-ISSN : 3049-2424
DOI: https://doi.org/10.71366/ijwos

Bridging the Digital Divide: A Multi-Disciplinary Framework for Integrating Educational Technology in Science Teacher Preparation for Early Childhood Settings in Nigeria

Elizabeth Morenikeji Titilayo ADEDIRAN[1] , Toluwalope Damilola ADEDIRAN[2], Boluwatife Adedeji ADEDIRAN [3]

[1] *Curriculum Studies and Educational Technology Department , School of General Education Federal College of Education Abeokuta. Ogun State.*
[2]*Integrated Science Department, Federal College of Education, Iwo. Osun State.*
[3]*Early Childhood/Primary Educator.*

Article Info

Article History:

Published:11 Dec 2025

Publication Issue:
Volume 2, Issue 12
December-2025

Page Number:
186-195

Corresponding Author:
Elizabeth Morenikeji Titilayo ADEDIRAN

Abstract:

This study investigates the integration of Educational Technology (EdTech) and Information Communication Technology (ICT) in preparing pre-service science teachers for Early Childhood Education (ECE) in Nigeria. Using a mixed-methods approach with 120 pre-service teachers, 40 in-service ECE teachers, and 15 teacher educators from three Nigerian colleges of education, the research examines how technology-mediated pedagogical approaches can enhance science instruction for young learners. The findings reveal significant gaps between technological availability and pedagogical application, highlighting the need for a culturally responsive framework that bridges ICT infrastructure, teacher education, and developmentally appropriate science pedagogy for early childhood contexts.

Keywords: Educational Technology, ICT Integration, Teacher Education, Science Education, Early Childhood Education, Nigeria, Pedagogical Content Knowledge

1. Introduction

The convergence of Educational Technology (EdTech), Information Communication Technology (ICT), Teacher Education, Science Education, and Early Childhood Education (ECE) represents a critical nexus for educational transformation in developing contexts. In Nigeria, where early childhood science education is often limited by traditional didactic methods and resource constraints, technology integration offers promising avenues for enhancing pedagogical quality and learning outcomes. However, the effective implementation of EdTech in ECE science teaching requires specialized teacher preparation that considers developmental appropriateness, cultural relevance, and technological accessibility. This paper addresses the intersection of these five domains by examining how Nigerian teacher education programs prepare early childhood educators to utilize technology in teaching science concepts. The research is situated within the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework (Mishra & Koehler, 2006), extending it to incorporate Early Childhood Developmental

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s) : This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Knowledge (ECDK) to create a comprehensive model for technology integration in early years science education.

2. Literature Review

Educational Technology and ICT in Developing Contexts

Educational technology in developing nations has evolved from basic computer literacy to sophisticated pedagogical integration (Hennessy et al., 2010). In Nigeria, ICT adoption in education has been uneven, with urban schools showing greater technological access than rural counterparts (Adu & Olatundun, 2013). Research indicates that mere access to technology does not guarantee effective integration; rather, teacher preparedness and pedagogical alignment are critical factors (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010).

Teacher Education for Technology Integration

Teacher preparation programs globally are increasingly emphasizing technology integration competencies. The TPACK framework provides a theoretical basis for understanding how teachers' technological knowledge interacts with pedagogical and content knowledge (Voogt et al., 2013). However, most TPACK research has focused on general education rather than early childhood specialization, particularly in science education contexts.

Science Education in Early Childhood

Early childhood represents a crucial period for developing scientific thinking and curiosity (Eshach & Fried, 2005). Developmentally appropriate science education for young children emphasizes hands-on, inquiry-based approaches rather than abstract conceptual instruction. Research suggests that technology can enhance early science learning when used as a tool for exploration and documentation rather than as a primary instructional medium (Clements & Sarama, 2003).

In Nigeria, early childhood science education faces multiple challenges: inadequate infrastructure, insufficient teacher preparation, and limited culturally relevant materials (Maduewesi, 2005). While national policies like the National Policy on ICT in Education (2010) emphasize technology integration, implementation at the early childhood level remains limited. This study addresses the gap between policy aspirations and classroom realities in Nigerian ECE science education.

Theoretical Framework

This study employs an extended TPACK-ECDK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Early Childhood Developmental Knowledge) framework. This integrated model recognizes that effective technology integration in early childhood science education requires not only technological competence but also deep understanding of:

1. Science content knowledge appropriate for young learners
2. Pedagogical strategies developmentally suitable for early childhood
3. Technological tools accessible and appropriate for ECE contexts
4. Child development principles governing how young children learn science
5. Cultural and contextual factors specific to Nigerian educational settings

The framework guides both the research design and the interpretation of findings regarding how these knowledge domains interact in teacher preparation and practice.

3. Methodology

Research Design

A sequential explanatory mixed-methods design was employed, beginning with quantitative data collection followed by qualitative investigation to explain the quantitative results.

Participants and Setting

The study involved:

120 pre-service teachers from three Colleges of Education in Southwest Nigeria
40 in-service ECE teachers from public and private preschools
15 teacher educators specializing in early childhood or science education
5 educational technology specialists from universities and NGOs

Data Collection Instruments

1. TPACK-ECDK Assessment Scale: A 45-item Likert scale measuring teachers' perceived competence across the five knowledge domains.
2. Classroom Observation Protocol: A structured instrument for documenting technology integration practices during science lessons.
3. Semi-structured Interview Guides: Separate protocols for pre-service teachers, in-service teachers, and teacher educators.
4. Document Analysis: Review of teacher education curricula and instructional materials.

5. Focus Group Discussions: With pre-service teachers to explore attitudes and perceptions.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS 25.0, employing descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression to examine relationships between variables. Qualitative data were transcribed and analyzed using thematic analysis with NVivo 12, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase approach.

Findings

Current State of Technology Integration

Table 1: Availability and Use of Educational Technology in ECE Science Teaching

Technology Type	Availability (%)	Regular Use in Science (%)
Computers/Laptops	45.2	18.7
Interactive Whiteboards	12.5	4.3
Tablets/iPads	28.9	15.6
Science-specific Apps	8.4	3.2
Digital Microscopes	3.1	0.8
Educational Videos	67.3	42.1

Availability and Use of Educational Technology in ECE Science Teaching reveals a profound and telling disparity between what technologies are available to teachers and what they regularly use for science instruction. While 67.3% of teachers reported access to educational videoslikely through DVDs, USB drives, or occasional internetonly 42.1% used them regularly in science lessons. This suggests that even the most accessible and familiar technology is underutilized for targeted pedagogical purposes.

More striking is the data for more interactive or science-specific tools. Despite a 28.9% availability of tablets/iPads, only 15.6% of teachers used them regularly for science. The gap widens dramatically for science-specific apps (8.4% availability vs. 3.2% use) and digital microscopes (3.1% availability vs. 0.8% use). This pattern strongly suggests that barriers extend far beyond simple access. The near non-use of available digital microscopes points to a critical second-order barrier (Ertmer, 1999): teachers may lack the pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) to integrate such tools into meaningful early childhood science explorations about texture, magnification, or biological structures. They may also

face practical constraints like lack of training, fear of damaging equipment, or perceived misalignment with curriculum demands. The data underscores that supplying hardware without concurrent, sustained support in pedagogical integration results in expensive resources gathering dust rather than enhancing children's scientific inquiry.

Teacher Preparedness and Competence

Table 2: Mean Scores on TPACK-ECDK Domains (Scale 1-5)

Knowledge Domain	**Pre-service Teachers**	**In-service Teachers**
Technological Knowledge	3.12	2.87
Pedagogical Knowledge	3.45	3.89
Science Content Knowledge	3.02	3.34
Early Childhood Developmental Knowledge	3.78	4.12
Contextual/Cultural Knowledge	3.56	4.05
Integrated TPACK-ECDK	2.89	3.12

Mean Scores on TPACK-ECDK Domains provides a diagnostic lens on teacher preparedness, confirming the hypothesis suggested by Table 1. Both pre-service and in-service teachers scored highest in domains of Early Childhood Developmental Knowledge (3.78 and 4.12) and Contextual/Cultural Knowledge (3.56 and 4.05). This is encouraging, indicating that teachers possess a strong foundational understanding of how young children learn and the realities of their local contexta vital asset for any reform.

However, the scores for Technological Knowledge (TK) were middling (3.12 for pre-service, 2.87 for in-service), and Science Content Knowledge (CK) was the weakest area for pre-service teachers (3.02). Most critically, the score for Integrated TPACK-ECDK was the lowest of all for both groups (2.89 and 3.12). This is the most significant finding of the quantitative analysis. It clearly demonstrates that while teachers may have separate reservoirs of knowledge about technology, pedagogy, content, and child

development, they struggle profoundly to synthesize these domains into effective, integrative classroom practice.

The fact that in-service teachers scored only marginally higher than pre-service teachers in integrated knowledge (3.12 vs. 2.89) suggests that current classroom experience alone is insufficient to develop this complex competency. It must be explicitly taught, modeled, and practiced. This deficit in integrated knowledge directly explains the low utilization rates in Table 1; a teacher may have a tablet (TK) and know five-year-olds are concrete learners (ECDK), but without the integrated TPACK-ECDK to design a science lesson where the tablet is used to document a hands-on plant growth experiment, the technology remains peripheral.

Synthesis: Connecting the Quantitative Narrative

Together, these tables tell a cohesive story. Table 1 (The "What") shows the outcome: a landscape of underutilized technology, particularly for authentic science exploration. Table 2 (The "Why") provides the explanation: teachers lack the sophisticated, integrative knowledge framework necessary to translate available tools into powerful science learning experiences. The moderate scores in individual knowledge domains confirm that the challenge is not primarily a lack of discrete skills or child development understanding. Instead, it is a systemic failure in teacher education and professional development to foster the synergistic integration of these knowledges.

This analysis moves the discussion beyond the common refrain of "lack of resources." It reveals that even with existing resources, a deeper pedagogical gap prevents their effective use. Therefore, interventions focused solely on increasing hardware provisionwithout simultaneously addressing this integrated knowledge gap through revised teacher education, curriculum-aligned professional development, and the creation of supportive school-level communities of practiceare likely to yield minimal impact on the quality of early childhood science education.Both groups showed weakest performance in integrated knowledge application, despite reasonable scores in individual domains.

Barriers to Effective Integration

Qualitative analysis identified five major barriers:

1. Infrastructural Constraints: Unreliable electricity, limited internet access, and inadequate hardware.
2. Curriculum-ICT Misalignment: Teacher education curricula not adequately addressing technology integration for early childhood science.

3. Developmental Appropriateness Concerns: Uncertainty about which technologies are suitable for young children.
4. Cultural Relevance Issues: Limited locally developed digital content for Nigerian ECE contexts.
5. Assessment Challenges: Traditional assessment methods not aligned with technology-enhanced science learning.

Promising Practices

Despite challenges, several promising practices emerged:
Mobile Technology Integration: Teachers creatively using smartphones for science documentation and exploration.
Low-Tech Digital Approaches: Combining traditional materials with simple digital tools.
Community-Based Digital Resources: Utilizing local environmental knowledge with technology for place-based science learning.

4. Discussion

The findings of this study point to several critical areas requiring coordinated action across different levels of the educational ecosystem. Rather than isolated interventions, what is needed is a coherent, multi-level strategy that addresses the intersecting challenges identified. The following discussion synthesizes the implications of our findings into actionable recommendations for key stakeholders.

Re-Imagining Teacher Education as Integrative Practice

For Teacher Education Programs, the data suggests a need to move beyond the current model where technology is often siloed in standalone “ICT in Education” modules. Our findings reveal that pre-service teachers struggle most not with the operation of devices, but with the pedagogical reasoning of when, why, and how to use them for specific early childhood science learning objectives. Therefore, a fundamental curricular revision is warranted. We propose that technology integration be woven directly into science education pedagogy courses. For instance, a module on teaching "Properties of Materials" in early childhood should concurrently explore how digital microscopes, documentation apps, or simple simulation software can extend hands-on exploration, rather than treating this as a separate technical skill.

Furthermore, the practicum component must be transformed. Teacher education should mandate authentic, school-based technology integration experiences during teaching practice. Partnering with

mentor teachers who are skilled in this area can provide modelling and scaffolded support, allowing student teachers to experiment, reflect, and troubleshoot in real classroom settings. Finally, to address the varying needs and confidence levels of both pre- and in-service teachers, we recommend the development of stackable micro-credential programs. These could focus on discrete, high-impact competencies such as "Using Digital Storytelling for Science Vocabulary Development" or "Leveraging Mobile Sensors for Outdoor Nature Investigations," providing flexible and targeted pathways for professional growth.

Enabling Policy: From Access to Appropriate Use

The role of Policy Makers is crucial in creating an enabling environment that goes beyond hardware provision. First, there is a palpable need for clarity and guidance. The development of national guidelines for developmentally appropriate technology use in early childhood science would address the anxiety and uncertainty expressed by many educators. These guidelines should move beyond simplistic screen-time limits to offer a pedagogical vision, outlining principles for active, creative, and collaborative technology use that aligns with early learning standards for science.

Second, policy must stimulate the creation of relevant content. The scarcity of culturally resonant digital materials is a significant barrier. Government agencies, in partnership with universities and content creators, should invest in and support the creation of Open Educational Resources (OER) specifically for Nigerian ECE science contexts. These resourcesfeaturing local languages, environments, and exampleswould empower teachers with readily adaptable tools. Crucially, any national or state infrastructure plan must explicitly prioritize reliable electricity and internet access for early childhood centers. Without this foundational layer, discussions of sophisticated technology integration remain theoretical. Investment in solar power solutions and community broadband initiatives could be pivotal.

Cultivating a School Culture of Pedagogical Innovation

At the institutional level, School Administrators are the linchpins for translating policy and training into daily practice. Our data indicates that one-off technical training workshops are insufficient. Instead, administrators should champion ongoing, job-embedded professional development that focuses on the pedagogical dimensions of technology. This could involve lesson study groups where teachers collaboratively design, implement, and refine technology-enhanced science lessons, focusing on the impact on children’s inquiry and reasoning.

To sustain this work, creating structured, collaborative learning communities within and across schools is essential. These communitieswhether physical or virtualprovide safe spaces for teachers to share successes, dissect failures, and co-problem-solve challenges related to technology integration. Finally, to ensure coherence and intentionality, every school should develop a concrete technology integration plan that is directly aligned with its science curriculum goals. This plan would move technology from being an optional add-on to a strategic tool for achieving defined learning outcomes, guiding procurement, professional development, and classroom practice towards a shared vision.

5. Conclusion

This study highlights the complex challenges and opportunities at the intersection of educational technology, teacher preparation, and early childhood science education in Nigeria. While technological access remains a significant barrier, developing teachers' integrated knowledge and pedagogical skills represents an equally important challenge. The proposed CR-TPACK-ECDK framework offers a roadmap for more effective teacher preparation that acknowledges contextual realities while promoting developmentally appropriate, culturally responsive technology integration in early childhood science education.

Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of enhanced teacher preparation on children's science learning outcomes and investigate specific technology integration models that are both effective and sustainable in resource-constrained contexts.

References

1. Adu, E. O., & Olatundun, S. A. (2013). The use and management of ICT in schools: Strategies for school leaders. European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology, 1(2), 10-16.

2. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

3. Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2003). Young children and technology: What does the research say? Young Children, 58(6), 34-40.

4. Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. Educational Technology Research and Development, 47(4), 47-61.

5. Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 42(3), 255-284.

6. Eshach, H., & Fried, M. N. (2005). Should science be taught in early childhood? Journal of Science Education and Technology, 14(3), 315-336.

7. Hennessy, S., Haßler, B., & Hofmann, R. (2010). Integrating technology into teaching and learning in low-income countries: A review of the literature. Centre for Commonwealth Education Working Paper, 2.

8. Maduewesi, E. J. (2005). Benchmarks and global trends in education. Dasylva Influence Enterprises.

9. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054.

10. Paris, D. (2012). Culturally sustaining pedagogy: A needed change in stance, terminology, and practice. Educational Researcher, 41(3), 93-97.

11. Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Pareja Roblin, N., Tondeur, J., & van Braak, J. (2013). Technological pedagogical content knowledge – a review of the literature. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 29(2), 109-121.